1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LILED

AUG 2 1 2008

RICHARD W. WILKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT GOURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.,
Plaintiff,

Case No. 07-5627 SC

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

v.

CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas Corporation and DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Special Verdict Form

Do you unanimously find from a preponderance of the evidence:

1. That defendant and counter-plaintiff ConocoPhillips Company ("ConocoPhillips") has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that its offer to sell its equipment and improvements to plaintiff and counter-defendant Houtan Petroleum Inc. ("Houtan Petroleum") was "bona fide?"

Answer Yes or No:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, skip Question 2 and proceed to Question 3.

If you answered "No" to Question 1, proceed to Question 2.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2.	What would have been a bona fide offer for the equipment and
	improvements offered by ConocoPhillips to Houtan Petroleum?
	Answer with a dollar amount: \$
	Skip Questions 3 through 6 and have your foreperson sign
	and date this form below.

3. That Houtan Petroleum has breached its contract with ConocoPhillips by refusing to return or permit ConocoPhillips to recover its equipment and improvements after termination of the parties' franchise agreement on October 31, 2007?

Answer Yes or No: Yes

Proceed to Question 4.

4. That Houtan Petroleum has committed a conversion by refusing to return or permit ConocoPhillips to recover its equipment and improvements after termination of the parties' franchise agreement on October 31, 2007?

5. That Houtan Petroleum has been unjustly enriched by retaining control and possession of ConocoPhillips' equipment and improvements after termination of the parties' franchise agreement on October 31, 2007?

Answer Yes or No: YES

Proceed to Question 6.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ľ
21	
22	
23	

24

25

26

27

28

6.	If the answer to Question 4, 5, and/or 6 was "Yes," what do
	find to be ConocoPhillips' damages as a result of Houtan
	Petroleum's breach of contract, conversion, and/or unjust
	enrichment?

Answer with a dollar amount: $$\underline{380,000,00}$$

Have your foreperson sign and date this form below.

Date: August $2/\sqrt{1}$, 2008

Foreperson